Klaus Aehlig Felix Joachimski Mathematisches Institut LMU München {aehlig|joachski}@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de

CSL 2002

 $\verb+http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~{aehlig|joachski}+$

Some history

- Cut-elimination: a cut $\neg A \lor \neg B \land A \land B$ is replaced by cuts on A and B.
- This process is defined by induction on (semi-formal) derivations.
- Want to separate the operational definition from the proof-theoretical analysis (well-foundedness of the derivation involves ordinal notation systems and strong means).
- In order to compute the normalized derivation in a primitive recursive way
- Mints 1978 introduced repetition rule^{*} <u>Γ ⊢ A</u>
 to compute the last rule of the normalized derivation ("Please wait; your proof will soon be computed (hopefully)")
- This procedure even applies to non-wellfounded derivations (it is continuous).

* which has the subformula property!

• Transfer ideas to λ -calculus (as Schwichtenberg 1998 and others did).

- Transfer ideas to λ -calculus (as Schwichtenberg 1998 and others did).
- Interesting, because some λ -terms (like Y) have infinite "normal forms", or are even diverging (like Ω).

- Transfer ideas to λ -calculus (as Schwichtenberg 1998 and others did).
- Interesting, because some λ -terms (like Y) have infinite "normal forms", or are even diverging (like Ω).
- Need a coinductive λ -calculus to write out the normal forms!

- Transfer ideas to λ -calculus (as Schwichtenberg 1998 and others did).
- Interesting, because some λ -terms (like Y) have infinite "normal forms", or are even diverging (like Ω).
- Need a coinductive λ -calculus to write out the normal forms!

Thus: define and analyze a primitive recursive normalization function ()^{β} : $\Lambda^{(co)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{co}_{\mathcal{R}}$

- Transfer ideas to λ -calculus (as Schwichtenberg 1998 and others did).
- Interesting, because some λ -terms (like Y) have infinite "normal forms", or are even diverging (like Ω).
- Need a coinductive λ -calculus to write out the normal forms!

Thus: define and analyze a primitive recursive normalization function ()^{β} : $\Lambda^{(co)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{co}_{\mathcal{R}}$

In particular, explain why and how many repetition rules are needed.

$$\Lambda \quad \ni \quad r,s ::= \quad x \mid rs \mid \lambda r$$

Terms. Inductive and coinductive λ -calculus in de Bruijn-notation ($x \in \mathbb{N}$).

Examples.

 Θ := tt with $t = \lambda \lambda .0.110$

Terms. Inductive and coinductive λ -calculus in de Bruijn-notation ($x \in \mathbb{N}$).

Examples.

 Θ := tt with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ = " $\lambda t \lambda f(f(ttf))$ "

Terms. Inductive and coinductive λ -calculus in de Bruijn-notation ($x \in \mathbb{N}$).

Terms. Inductive and coinductive λ -calculus in de Bruijn-notation ($x \in \mathbb{N}$).

Terms. Inductive and coinductive λ -calculus in de Bruijn-notation ($x \in \mathbb{N}$).

Examples.

Observational equality. $r \simeq_k s$ iff the outermost k constructors are identical. E.g., $1\lambda\lambda 0 \simeq_2 1\lambda(02)$.

Equality. r = s iff $r \simeq_k s$ for all k.

Substitution. r[s] is well-defined

Substitution. r[s] is well-defined and continuous with identity as modulus of continuity, i.e.,

$$r \simeq_k r' \land s \simeq_k s' \Rightarrow r[s] \simeq_k r'[s'].$$

Substitution. r[s] is well-defined and continuous with identity as modulus of continuity, i.e.,

$$r \simeq_k r' \land s \simeq_k s' \Rightarrow r[s] \simeq_k r'[s'].$$

Reduction. \rightarrow is the compatible closure of $(\lambda r)s \rightarrow r[s]$.

Substitution. r[s] is well-defined and continuous with identity as modulus of continuity, i.e.,

$$r \simeq_k r' \land s \simeq_k s' \Rightarrow r[s] \simeq_k r'[s'].$$

Reduction. \rightarrow is the compatible closure of $(\lambda r)s \rightarrow r[s]$.

Normal forms. NF \ni $r, s ::=^{co} x \vec{r} \mid \lambda r \mid \beta r$.

Substitution. r[s] is well-defined and continuous with identity as modulus of continuity, i.e.,

$$r \simeq_k r' \land s \simeq_k s' \Rightarrow r[s] \simeq_k r'[s'].$$

Reduction. \rightarrow is the compatible closure of $(\lambda r)s \rightarrow r[s]$.

Normal forms. NF \ni $r, s ::=^{co} x \vec{r} \mid \lambda r \mid \mathcal{R}r \mid \beta r$.

Continuous normalization. $r^{\beta} := r@\varepsilon$ where for $r, \vec{s} \in \Lambda^{co}$ we define $r@\vec{s} \in NF$ by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (rs)@\vec{s} & := & \mathcal{R}.r@(s,\vec{s}) \\ x@\vec{s} & := & x\vec{s}^{\beta} \\ (\lambda r)@\varepsilon & := & \lambda r^{\beta} \\ (\lambda r)@(s,\vec{s}) & := & \beta.r[s]@\vec{s} \end{array}$$

• $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$

• $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that SKK

• $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0)$

• $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{nf}\,\Theta &= \mathrm{nf}\,Y &= \lambda \, 0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\dots \\ \Theta^{\beta} &= \mathcal{R}\beta\lambda \, \mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ Y^{\beta} &= \lambda \, \mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\beta \ \mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\beta \ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}\beta \ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}\beta \ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}\beta \ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}(0(\dots \\ \mathcal{R}(0$$

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

Note that Θf

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{nf}\,\Theta &= \,\mathrm{nf}\,Y \;=\; \lambda.\,0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\ldots \\ \Theta^{\beta} \;=\; \mathcal{R}\beta\lambda.\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} \;=\; \lambda.\mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \mathbf{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \mathbf{$$

Note that
$$\Theta f = (\lambda x, f.f(xxf))(\lambda x, f.f(xxf))f$$

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{nf}\,\Theta &= \,\mathrm{nf}\,Y \;=\; \lambda.\,0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\ldots \\ \Theta^{\beta} \;=\; \mathcal{R}\beta\lambda.\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} \;=\; \lambda.\mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \Theta f \;\; =\; (\lambda x,f.f(xxf))(\lambda x,f.f(xxf))f \\ \rightarrow \; (\lambda f.f(\Theta f))f \end{split}$$

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{nf}\,\Theta &= \,\mathrm{nf}\,Y \;=\; \lambda.\,0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\ldots \\ \Theta^{\beta} \;=\; \mathcal{R}\beta\lambda.\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} \;=\; \lambda.\mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \Theta f \;\; =\; (\lambda x,f.f(xxf))(\lambda x,f.f(xxf))f \\ \rightarrow \; (\lambda f.f(\Theta f))f \\ \rightarrow \; f(\Theta f) \end{split}$$

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{nf} \Theta = \operatorname{nf} Y = \lambda \cdot 0(\quad 0(\quad 0(\quad 0(\ldots \\ \Theta^{\beta} = \mathcal{R}\beta\lambda \cdot \mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} = \lambda \cdot \mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \quad \mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \quad \mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \nabla^{\beta} \quad \mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \Theta f) \quad \mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \quad \mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \nabla^{\beta} \quad$$

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{nf}\,\Theta &= \,\mathrm{nf}\,Y \;=\; \lambda.\; 0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\ldots \\ \Theta^{\beta} \;=\; \mathcal{R}\beta\lambda.\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} \;=\; \lambda.\mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} \;=\; \lambda.\mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}$$

while $Y_f := (\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$

- $(SKK)^{\beta} = \mathcal{RR}\beta\beta\lambda\mathcal{RR}\beta\beta0$ with $S := \lambda\lambda\lambda.20.10, K := \lambda\lambda1.$ Note that $SKK \rightarrow^2 \lambda.K0(K0) \rightarrow^2 \lambda0.$
- For $\Theta = tt$ with $t = \lambda \lambda . 0.110$ and $Y = \lambda . (\lambda . 1.00)(\lambda . 1.00)$ we get

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{nf}\,\Theta &= \,\mathrm{nf}\,Y \;=\; \lambda.\;0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\qquad 0(\ldots \\ \Theta^{\beta} \;=\; \mathcal{R}\beta\lambda.\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}\beta\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} \;=\; \lambda.\mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ Y^{\beta} \;=\; \lambda.\mathcal{R}\beta\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\quad \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0(\ldots \\ \mathcal{R}\beta \;\mathcal{R}(0($$

while
$$Y_f := (\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx)) \to fY_f.$$

• Continuity: $r \simeq_k r' \land \vec{s} \simeq_k \vec{s'} \implies r@\vec{s} \simeq_k r'@\vec{s'}$. In particular $r^{\beta} \simeq_k r'^{\beta}$ for $r \simeq_k r'$.

- Continuity: $r \simeq_k r' \land \vec{s} \simeq_k \vec{s'} \implies r@\vec{s} \simeq_k r'@\vec{s'}$. In particular $r^{\beta} \simeq_k r'^{\beta}$ for $r \simeq_k r'$.
- Soundness: $r \in \Lambda \land r^{\beta} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{R}} \implies r \to^{*} r^{\beta*}$ where r^{*} is r without β and \mathcal{R} (for $r \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{R}}$).

- Continuity: $r \simeq_k r' \land \vec{s} \simeq_k \vec{s'} \implies r@\vec{s} \simeq_k r'@\vec{s'}$. In particular $r^{\beta} \simeq_k r'^{\beta}$ for $r \simeq_k r'$.
- Soundness: $r \in \Lambda \land r^{\beta} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{R}} \implies r \to^{*} r^{\beta*}$ where r^{*} is r without β and \mathcal{R} (for $r \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{R}}$).
- Continuous normal forms: $\exists s \in \Lambda^{co}. s^{\beta} = r$ iff $\vdash r$ with

$$\frac{s, \vec{s} \vdash r}{\vec{s} \vdash \mathcal{R}r} \quad \frac{\vdash \vec{r}}{\vec{r} \vdash x\vec{r}} \quad \frac{\vdash r}{\vdash \lambda r} \quad \frac{\vec{s} \vdash r}{s, \vec{s} \vdash \beta r}$$

- Continuity: $r \simeq_k r' \land \vec{s} \simeq_k \vec{s'} \implies r@\vec{s} \simeq_k r'@\vec{s'}$. In particular $r^{\beta} \simeq_k r'^{\beta}$ for $r \simeq_k r'$.
- Soundness: $r \in \Lambda \land r^{\beta} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{R}} \implies r \to^{*} r^{\beta*}$ where r^{*} is r without β and \mathcal{R} (for $r \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{R}}$).
- Continuous normal forms: $\exists s \in \Lambda^{co}. s^{\beta} = r \text{ iff } \vdash r \text{ with}$

$$\frac{s, \vec{s} \vdash r}{\vec{s} \vdash \mathcal{R}r} \quad \frac{\vdash \vec{r}}{\vec{r} \vdash x\vec{r}} \quad \frac{\vdash r}{\vdash \lambda r} \quad \frac{\vec{s} \vdash r}{s, \vec{s} \vdash \beta r}$$

• Analysis: A \mathcal{R} is justified by a β or an application:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \vdash s \implies & \mathcal{R}_{\zeta}s \geq \beta_{\zeta}s + A_{\zeta}s \\ & \text{with} = \text{for complete paths } \zeta \end{array}$$

in the leftmost-outermost reduction strategy

in the leftmost-outermost reduction strategy

Standardization.

in the leftmost-outermost reduction strategy

Standardization. If $r \to^* s \in NF \cap \Lambda$ then $r \rightsquigarrow s$, given by

$$\frac{\vec{r} \rightsquigarrow_{\vec{n}} \vec{s}}{x\vec{r} \rightsquigarrow_{\Sigma \vec{n}} x\vec{s}} \qquad \frac{r \rightsquigarrow_{n} s}{\lambda r \rightsquigarrow_{n} \lambda s} \qquad \frac{r[s]\vec{s} \rightsquigarrow_{n} t}{(\lambda r)s\vec{s} \rightsquigarrow_{n+1} t}$$

in the leftmost-outermost reduction strategy

Standardization. If $r \to^* s \in NF \cap \Lambda$ then $r \rightsquigarrow s$, given by

$$\frac{\vec{r} \rightsquigarrow_{\vec{n}} \vec{s}}{x\vec{r} \rightsquigarrow_{\Sigma \vec{n}} x\vec{s}} \qquad \frac{r \rightsquigarrow_{n} s}{\lambda r \rightsquigarrow_{n} \lambda s} \qquad \frac{r[s]\vec{s} \rightsquigarrow_{n} t}{(\lambda r)s\vec{s} \rightsquigarrow_{n+1} t}$$

Lemma. $r \rightsquigarrow_n s \implies r^{\beta} \triangleright_n^n s^{\beta}$ where \triangleright_n^k removes n occurrences of β and k occurences of \mathcal{R} .

in the leftmost-outermost reduction strategy

Standardization. If $r \to^* s \in NF \cap \Lambda$ then $r \rightsquigarrow s$, given by

$$\frac{\vec{r} \rightsquigarrow_{\vec{n}} \vec{s}}{x\vec{r} \rightsquigarrow_{\Sigma \vec{n}} x\vec{s}} \qquad \frac{r \rightsquigarrow_{n} s}{\lambda r \rightsquigarrow_{n} \lambda s} \qquad \frac{r[s]\vec{s} \rightsquigarrow_{n} t}{(\lambda r)s\vec{s} \rightsquigarrow_{n+1} t}$$

Lemma. $r \rightsquigarrow_n s \implies r^{\beta} \triangleright_n^n s^{\beta}$ where \triangleright_n^k removes n occurrences of β and k occurences of \mathcal{R} . Theorem. $r \rightsquigarrow_n s \in NF \cap \Lambda \implies r^{\beta} \triangleright_n^{n+|s|} s$

where |s| is the number of applications in s.

Conclusions and further work

- Defined and analyzed a primitive recursive normalization function in the coinductive λ -calculus with repetition rule \mathcal{R} .
- Explained the use of \mathcal{R} , using auxiliary constructor β . This gives information on the normalization process.
- Can be extended to calculi with infinitary branching rules, such as (ω) .
- Straightforward implementation in Haskell:

```
beta :: Term -> Term
beta r = app r []
app :: Term -> [Term] -> Term
app (Lam r) (s:1) = Bet (app (subst r s 0) 1)
app (Lam r) [] = Lam (beta r)
app (Var k) 1 = foldl App (Var k) (map beta 1)
app (App r s) 1 = Rep (app r (s:1))
```

Examples in Haskell

```
Test runs:
```

```
Main> beta (s 'App' k 'App' k)
Rep (Rep (Bet (Bet (Lam (Rep (Rep (Bet (Bet (Var 0))))))))
Main> beta (yco k)
Rep (Bet (Lam (Rep (Bet (Rep (Be
```

CSL 2002

History – Goal – Terms – Normalization – Examples – Properties – Counting – Conclusions

More test runs

Main> beta (church 2 'App' (church 3)) Rep (Bet (Lam (Rep (Bet (Lam (Rep (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Var 0))) [..])) Main> beta (church 3 'App' (church 2)) Rep (Bet (Lam (Rep (Bet (Lam (Rep (Rep (Bet (Rep (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (Bet (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (Rep (Bet (Rep (App (Var 1) (Rep (App (Var 1) (Var 0))) [..]))